Emerging Markets Debt and it's solvency capital requirements under QIS5 / Solvency II

Emerging Market Investment Grade Strategy Emerging Market Local Currency Fund Emerging Market Corporate Bond Fund Emerging Market Bond Fund Emerging Market "A Strategy"

September 2010



Insight + Process = Results

Executive Summary

- Goal: Increase target yield of fixed income portfolio, keeping risk control in mind, i.e. risk-return-optimization
- Background: Developed markets bond yields are currently insufficient to meet return targets of around 4%
- Emerging Markets Debt may be an alternative source for yield diversification
 - EMD is moving towards becoming an Investment Grade asset class
 - EMD can already be implemented as Investment Grade only
- Advantages of EMD as an Investment Grade only Strategy
 - Invest in a continuously developing asset class
 - Diversifier in developed markets bond portfolio
 - Risk controlled EMD strategy
 - Efficient use of capital regarding Solvency II
- J.P. Morgan Asset Management
 - Leading manager in Emerging Markets Debt
 - Global team with single investment process
 - Extensive experience with institutional clients in this asset class



Agenda

- Why consider Emerging Markets Debt?
- EMD Investment Grade Strategy
- Strategy Proposal and Investment Process



Emerging Markets: After the recent rally – where do we stand ?



EMBI Global Sovereign Spread and Yield

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010



Conclusion - Yield Compression in all fixed income asset classes

Reasons – Developed Markets:

- Slow growth, low inflation, low interest rates
- Decrease of event-risks; Increase of credit quality in many sectors
- Increase in Credit quality especially true for Emerging Markets
 - 2000 Rating average for EMBI Global was B+/BB-
 - 2010 Rating average for EMBI Global is BB+/BBB-
- Trend for further credit improvement in Emerging Markets bonds
 - Stronger Growth, mostly independent Central Banks, focus on inflation
 - Development of social security systems, stronger democracies, greater fiscal discipline
- Is Emerging Markets Debt becoming a pure Investment Grade investment class?

Summary – Solvency capital charges (SCR) under QIS5

Fund calculation for the Emerging Market accounts



Estimated market SCR requirements*1

	EMD IG :	Holds only investment grade securities and is fully hedged ^{*2.} The biggest contributor is spread risk
D A Strat	EMD EMLCF	: Holds mainly investment grade securities however is unhedged ^{*3.} The biggest contributor is currency risk
	EMD EMCBF	: Fully hedged into USD, holds more lower grade securities ^{*4;} biggest driver is spread risk
	EMD EMBF :	Hedged into USD, holds mainly lower grade securities ^{*5;} biggest driver is spread risk.
	EMD A Strat	: Hedged into USD Holds Upper Grade securities ^{*6} and fully hedged currency exposure
2%	Spread Charg duration*7 of t Government b	ge was calculated as a function of the credit rating and he position distinguishing between Corporate and ponds.
2%	*1 Calculati *2 EMIG: H *3 EMLCF: *4 EMCBF: *5 EMBF: H *6 A Strat: *7 Duration	ons are based on holdings as of the 18 th of August 2010, SCR is correlated olds Government and Corporate bonds Holds Government and Corporate bonds Holds Corporate bonds tolds Government, Corporate bonds and Futures Holds Government and Corporate bonds was based on modified duration derived by JPMorgan Fixed income System FIS II





Optimum Portfolio – Risk Adjusted Yield

EMD IG is the optimum portfolio y risk adjusted yield.*1
 Both EMD IG and EMD BF have lower exposure to corporates as compared to Government bonds. Ratios of Corporate bonds to Government bonds; EMD IG (30:70) and EMD BF (18:78) .*2
 EMD IG holds 14% of OECD Government bonds and EMD BF hold 9% of OECD Government bonds.*³
 The key determinant for the lower charge is the quality of bonds held in the EMD IG

^{*1} Risk adjusted Yield is a proportional reduction in yield by the SCR calculated as $Y_r = Y(1-SCR)$ where Yr is the risk adjusted return and Y the yield

*² QIS5 has higher SCR for Corporate bonds than for Government Bonds *³ OECD Government bonds have no spread charge



Emerging Market Corporate Bond fund – Spread risk



 Investing in this fund will require 23.4% capital charge
The Yield = 5.8%
Risk Adjusted Yield* ¹ = 4.5%
 There is no Govt bond exposure
 Corporate bonds make up 90% of Portfolio
 Portfolio is fully hedged hence no currency exposure
 37% of bonds are investment grade

 *1 Risk adjusted Yield is a proportional reduction in yield by the SCR calculated as Y_r =Y(1-SCR) where Yr is the risk adjusted return and Y the yield



Emerging Market Bond fund





Source: JPMAM calculations; Positions based on fund/portfolio holdings as of 18th August 2010,

BB 41% ∎ B <

BBB

45%

Unrated



Emerging Market "A Strategy" mandate – Spread risk







Emerging Market IG Strategy / Mandate / Fund – Spread risk









Emerging Market Investment Grade fund / mandate – Spread risk





Summary – SCR Charge by Credit Grade Exposure (EX – currency)



Solvency capital (SCR) - sub market modules

	EMD IG	EMD EMLCF	EMD EMCBF	EMD EMBF	EMD A Strat
Duration*1	6.3	8.38	5.89	7.23	6.54
Equity	-	-	-	-	-
Property	-	-	-	-	-
Spread	8.5%	6.2%	23.4%	15.0%	6.2%
Currency	-	24.0%	-	2.0%	-
Concentration	-	-	-	-	-
Illiquidity	-	-	-	-	-
otal SCR uncorrelated	8.5%	30.2%	23.4%	16.8%	6.2%
otal SCR correlated	8.5%	24.8%	23.4%	14.8%	6.2%
enefit of correlation	0.0%	5.42%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%

*1 Duration was based on modified duration derived by JPMorgan Fixed income System FIS II



Optimum Portfolio – Yield and Risk Adjusted Yield vs. SCR



EMD IG is the optimum portfolio. It has the highest Risk adjusted Yield.*1
Both EMD IG and EMD BF have lower exposure to corporates as compared to Government bonds. Ratios of Corporate bonds to Government bonds; EMD IG (30:70) and EMD BF (18:78) .* ²
EMD IG holds 14% of OECD Government bonds and EMD BF hold 9% of OECD Government bonds.*3
The key determinant for the lower charge is the quality of bonds held in the EMD IG

 *1 Risk adjusted Yield is a proportional reduction in yield by the SCR calculated as Y_r =Y(1-SCR) where Yr is the risk adjusted return and Y the yield

*2 QIS5 has higher SCR for Corporate bonds than for Government Bonds*3 OECD Government bonds have no spread charge in Germany, other jurisdictions tbd

Source: JPMAM calculations; Positions based on fund/portfolio holdings as of 18th August 2010,



Emerging Market Debt Investment Grade strategy



How to construct an EMD Investment Grade strategy

- Government bonds in hard currency EMBI Global Diversified IG
 - Investment Grade Index exists since Jan 2002, makes up 56.4% of EMBI Global Div (BB+/Baa3)
 - Current yield of EMBI Global Diversified IG @ 5,3%
- Corporate bonds in hard currency CEMBI Broad Diversified IG
 - Investment Grade Index exists since Jan 2002 and today makes up 70.6% of the CEMBI Broad Diversified (BBB/Baa2)
 - Current yield of CEMBI Broad Diversified IG @ 5,4%
- Global Emerging Markets bonds in local currency GBI EM Global Diversified
 - Average rating of the GBI-EM Global Diversified is BBB
 - Current yield of GBI-EM Global Diversified @ 6,8%
 - But we recommend excluding local currencies despite their yield attractiveness
 - Regulatory issues possible
 - Higher turnover strategy
 - Set-up constraints possible with custodians
 - High FX Volatility

Conclusion: IG strategy in EMD should be made up of hard currency government and corporate bonds

Emerging Markets Bond Index Characteristics

Index characteristics as of June 30th 2010

Index	Market Cap (USD bn)	No. of issuers	# of Securities	Average Quality	Duration in Years	Yield in % (Jun 30th)
EMBI Global Div.	232	58	233	BB+ /BBB-	6.60	6.42
EMBI Global Div. IG	132	29	117	BBB	6.56	5.28
CEMBI Broad Div	113	219	404	BBB	5.15	6.43
CEMBI Broad Div. IG	80	131	282	BBB+	5.52	5.42

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010



EMBI Global Diversified & IG – Country Allocation

As at 30 June 2010

Country allocation – EMBI Global Diversified



Country allocation – EMBI Global Diversified IG (56% of EMBI GI. Div.; OECD: 25%)



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; Emerging Markets Bond Index (EMBI)

* OECD & EER countries



EMBI Global Diversified & IG – Rating Characteristics

Rating allocation – EMBI Global Diversified (56% of EMBI GI. Div.) <B & NR >BBB В A+, 7.6% 1.79% 10,91% 11.11% A-, 12.8% BBB-. BBB+, 42.8% 5.4% BB 30,75% BBB 45,44% BBB, 31.5%

Rating allocation – EMBI Global Diversified IG

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; Emerging Markets Bond Index (EMBI)



CEMBI Broad Diversified & IG – Country Allocation

As at 30 June 2010



Country allocation – CEMBI Broad Diversified



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; Coprorates Emerging Markets Bond Index (CEMBI)



CEMBI Broad Diversified & IG – Rating Characteristics

BB 11.79% BB 15.78% BBB 32.12% BBB 37.18%

Ratings allocation – CEMBI Broad Diversified



Ratings allocation – CEMBI Broad Diversified IG (70% of CEMBI Broad Div.)

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; Corporates Emerging Markets Bond Index (CEMBI)



Top 10 issuers for EMBI Global Div. IG and CEMBI Broad Div. IG

J.P. Morgan EMBI Global Diversifie	ed IG	J.P. Morgan CEMBI Broad Diversified IG			
BR Republic - Brazil	12.15%	QA Raslaf – Oil	4.95%		
MX UMS – United Mexican States	9.44%	HK Hutchison – Telecom	4.34%		
CO Republic - Colombia	8.52%	MX America Movil – Telecom	4.19%		
RU Republic - Russia	8.18%	AE Taqa Abu Dhabi – Oil	3.97%		
PE Republic - Peru	6.43%	PE Southern – Copper	3.26%		
PA Republic - Panama	6.15%	IN ICICI – Financials	3.04%		
MY Petronas - Oil	5.58%	SG DBS – Financials	3.00%		
ZA Republic – South Africa	5.46%	IL Electric Corp – Utility	2.76%		
PL Republic – Poland	5.39%	RU Gazprom – Oil	2.54%		
KZ Kazmunaigaz - Energy	5.11%	BR Petrobas – Oil	2.14%		

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; EMBI + CEMBI



Yields on the broad indices are still generous, hovering around 6.5%



EMBI Global Div. / CEMBI Broad Div. (thin lines: adjusted for curve)

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; EMBI + CEMBI



Yields on Investment Grade indices still within the perceived "window of opportunity" above 5%



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., June 2010; EMBI + CEMBI



Historical efficient frontier EMBI Global Div. IG and CEMBI Broad Div. IG

Historical efficient frontier





EMD IG (70/30): EMBI Global Div. IG & CEMBI Broad Diversified IG – Countries / Ratings

As at 30th June 2010

Country allocation – EMD IG (70/30)



Rating allocation - EMD IG (70/30)



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., March 2010; Coprorates Emerging Markets Bond Index (CEMBI)



Outlook for Emerging Markets Bonds Investment Grade

Strong Growth

- Mostly independent Central Banks with focus on inflation
- Development of social security systems
- Strong demographics
- Fiscal discipline



Emerging markets driving global growth



Contributions to Global GDP Growth, 2000-2014

Source: IMF World Economic Outlook 2010, J.P.Morgan Asset Management

Note: Data for 2010–2014 are forecasts. Forecasts are based on current market conditions and are subject to change without notice.

EM will continue to have a much stronger fiscal position relative to advanced economies

Public Debt to GDP (%) and Fiscal Balance (%)



Source: IMF World Economic Outlook 2010.

Note: Data for 2010–2014 are forecasts. Forecasts are based on current market conditions and are subject to change without notice.

Growth in emerging markets is expected to outpace the developed world in the years ahead



Source: J.P. Morgan Asset Management.

Note: 2009 data as of September 30, 2009. Data for 2014 and 2020 are forecasts. Forecasts are based on current market conditions and are subject to change without notice. The J.P. Morgan Government Bond Index-Emerging Markets (GBI-EM) is representative of the local currency market. The J.P. Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBI Global) is representative of the sovereign debt market. The J.P. Morgan Corporate Emerging Markets Bond Index (CEMBI) is representative of the corporate debt market.

Euro Corp Baa

0.74

0.36

0.89

Inclusion of EMD IG in the overall Fixed Income Portfolio

Risk- Return Parameters of EMD IG vs. Developed Bond Markets

Dec 01 to June 10	EMD IG (in\$)	Euro Tsy	Euro Corp	Euro Corp Baa
Risk	7.53%	3.52%	3.62%	4.30%
Return	8.16%	5.19%	4.91%	5.43%
Correlations	EMD IG (in\$)	Euro Tsy	Euro Corp	Euro Corp Baa
EMD IG	1.00			
Euro Tsy	0.40	1.00		
Euro Corp	0.71	0.58	1.00	

Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Barclays Capital: EMD IG as described before: 70/30 mix of JPM EMBI Global Diversified IG and CEMBI Broad Diversified IG; JPM EMU Government Bond Index, Barclays Euro Agg (Corporate) Index and Barclays Euro Agg (Corporate) Baa Index, Dec 2001 to June 2010; Hedging return from USD to EUR 0,12% p.a.; 1yr fwd hedge return currently 0,32% from USD to EUR

1.00

Correlation of EMD IG with Euro

government bonds (0,40) even lower than

н.

EMD IG (70/30): Yield and Coupon above traditional Fixed Income asset classes (1)



...duration of EMD IG close to Euro government bond duration, yield @ 5,37% and coupon @ 7%



EMD IG (70/30): Yield and Coupon above traditional Fixed Income asset classes (2)





Forward looking efficient frontier EMBI Global Div. IG and CEMBI Broad Div. IG



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., data since inception of the indices (31.12.2001)



EMD IG (70/30): Historical and forward looking Risk/Return charts - combined with € Corp



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Barclays Capital: EMD IG as described before: 70/30 mix of JPM EMBI Global Diversified IG and CEMBI Broad Diversified IG;Barclays Euro Agg (Corporate) Index, Dec 2001 to June 2010; Hedging return from USD to EUR 0,12% p.a.; 1yr fwd hedge return currently 0,32% from USD to EUR



EMD IG (70/30): Historical and forward looking Risk/Return charts - combined with € Gov

Historical efficient frontier

Forward looking efficient frontier (current yield based)



Source: J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.: EMD IG as described before: 70/30 mix of JPM EMBI Global Diver-sified IG and CEMBI Broad Diversified IG; JPM EMU Government Bond Index, Dec 2001 to June 2010; Hedging return from USD to EUR 0,12% p.a.; 1yr fwd hedge return currently 0,32% from USD to EUR



Conclusion

- Emerging Markets Investment Grade is an attractive yield enhancer but
 - a significant allocation to the overall portfolio is needed
- Countries are developing further, credit upgrades are likely to occur and the asset class is maturing
 - therefore, historical volatility may not necessarily be representative, allowing for a larger EMD allocation
- Overall improved liquidity allows opportunities for tactical moves and risk/return management by the investor
- Risks
 - External: Exit Strategy (China FOMC), Obama Banking regulation, Greece (solvency), Double-dip,...
 - Within EM: Supply in the near term and Debt sustainability, inflation and politics
 - Unpredictable events: Financial Crisis Part 2, global risk aversion,

EM Debt Investment Grade Strategy_0410_MM.ppt

Appendix 1: JPMAM EMD IG proposal and investment process



Strategy Proposal for Emerging Markets Debt Investment Grade

Strategy Design	
Universe	Emerging Market External Debt and EM Corporate Bonds
Benchmark	70% EMBI Global Diversified IG $$ & 30% CEMBI Broad Div IG. (range 75/25 to 50/50 - size dependent)
Minimum Rating:	Investment Grade only
Risk/Return Targets	
Target Alpha:	150-200 bps
Target TE:	300-400 bps
Information ratio target:	0.50
Indicative Alpha sources over a cycle	
Active Government / Corporate Allocation:	20%
Country Selection	40%
Security Selection External Debt:	10%
 Security selection Corporate 	30%
Risk parameters	
Max. duration deviation:	1 year
Min/Max corporate allocation:	+/- 20% around benchmark weight
Max. country overweight:	+/- 10% or one year spread duration
Max. corporate issuer overweight:	2% or 0.2 year spread duration



Experienced team of emerging markets debt specialists

Emerging Markets Debt Team								
Name	Role	Location	Years of Firm Experience	Years of Industry Experience				
Senior Management	Lload of Clobal Fixed Income and Currenov	New York	26	26				
Selli Demslein Robert Michele, CEA	Clobal Chief Investment Officer		20	20				
		LUNUUN	2	25				
Portfolio Management, Trading and	Research							
Pierre-Yves Bareau	Head of Emerging Markets Debt, Global Strategist	London	1	19				
Alain Defise	Lead Portfolio Manager, EM Corporate Debt	London	1	14				
Didier Lambert, CFA	Lead Portfolio Manager, Local Currency (Rates and FX)	London	1	12				
Michal Wozniak, CFA	Portfolio Manager, CEEMEA Strategist	London	1	10				
Amit Tanna, CFA	Portfolio Manager/Emerging Markets FX	London	8	8				
Suney Hindocha	Credit Analyst, CEEMEA	London	<1	1				
Joanne Baxter	I rader	London	<1	5				
Matias Silvani	Latin America Strategist; Lead Portfolio Manager External Debt	New York	6	12				
Emil Babayev	Trader and Portfolio Manager, External Debt	New York	10	10				
Dan Gelfand	Associate Portfolio Manager, External Debt	New York	6	6				
Jonathan Prin	Credit Analyst, Latin America	New York	5	8				
Carlos Rocha, CFA*	Portfolio Manager, Brazil	Sao Paulo	6	14				
Stephen Chang, CFA	Lead Portfolio Manager Asia, Asia Strategist	Hong Kong	6	14				
Aidan Shevlin, CFA	Portfolio Manager, Local Currency (Rates and FX)	Hong Kong	13	13				
Arthur Lau, CFA	Lead Credit Analyst Asia, EM Corporate Debt	Hong Kong	5	23				
John Lam	Credit Analyst, Asia	Hong Kong	<1	14				
Charles Chen*	Portfolio Manager, Taiwan	Taipei	11	22				
Nandkumar Surti*	Portfolio Manager, India	Mumbai	3	18				
Additional resources								
Molly Meng*	Portfolio Manager, China	Shanghai	1	14				
Quantitative Research and Risk								
Frank Del Vecchio, CFA	Head of Quantitative Research	London	6	21				
Vincent Kumaradjaja * = Team leader listed	Senior Risk Officer	New York	<1	21				

Information as of June 30, 2010. There can be no assurance that the professionals currently employed by J.P. Morgan Asset Management will continue to be employed by J.P. Morgan Asset Management or that the past performance or success of any such professional serves as an indicator of such professional's future performance or success.

Team organized by alpha strategy and enhanced by regional expertise

- Diversification across a range of specialized strategies is essential in delivering consistently strong performance to clients
 - Broaden sources of added value and de-correlation
 - Ensure independent decision making, focused on skills and experience
 - Tailored and rigorous investment process by strategy



Our emerging markets debt approach

- We believe a disciplined emerging market debt investment approach combining macro-economic research with bottom up fundamental country and credit analysis will produce superior risk adjusted returns
- We seek to:
 - Actively rotate sub-strategies
 - Emerging market corporate debt, sovereign debt and local debt
 - Conduct fundamental research
 - To uncover market inefficiencies and investment opportunities
 - Utilize locally based portfolio managers and analysts
 - Hong Kong, Mumbai, London, Sao Paulo and New York
 - Ensure dedicated ownership
 - Portfolio managers accountable for trades and portfolio positions
 - Leverage JPMorgan's top-tier global resources
 - Active discussions with Global EM equity, High Yield, Currency, Natural Resources and Fixed Income teams

The manager seeks to achieve the stated objectives. There can be no guarantee the objectives will be met.

Disciplined investment process



Our Global Investment Strategy guides the allocation of risk budgets for emerging markets debt portfolios

- Strategy established monthly, but reviewed weekly
 - Team works in close collaboration with other J.P. Morgan investment groups: global fixed income, emerging markets equity, currencies and local offices
- Factors analysed
 - Key themes driving emerging markets, such as rebalancing, differentiation, commodities or China
 - Stage of the economic cycle: downturn or upturn
 - Investor risk appetite (proprietary model)
 - Technical factors, such as liquidity, volatility, correlation and momentum
 - Positioning: supply and demand
- Top-down and bottom-up risk assessment also undertaken
 - Emerging markets vs developed markets
 - Regions: Latin America vs Asia vs Central Europe, the Middle East and Africa
 - External debt vs local debt
 - Security preferences: government vs agency vs corporate

For Professiona	al Investors Only
JPMAM Strateg	y Emerging Market Debt
Q3 2010	last update : 23/06/10
Summary	We maintain a constructive view on EMD for the second part of the year, but see this quarter challenging growth momentum in EM and in the US on a fear of European spill over, on the positive, value has been created recently in both local and USD markets, but risks remain elevated. Tactically, the markets may rebound, but we want to see more clarity on key indicators (macro and technical) before increasing our risks significantly. We continue to think that we are in a mid-cycle slowdown, economic expansion is not over, and EM will continue to attract inflows.
Key Themes	Sustainability of Recovery -> Cyclical vs Structural trades - EM Corporate may benefit from structural capital inflows. Cyclical trades can be more global grown, Barcov Winning countries - Strong balance sheet countries could continue to benefit - e.g. Mexico Peru Liquidity vs Solvency -> Hedge trades - We will rotate car hedge out of liquidity incubied credits into solvency pressued ones Positioning Rivers -> Favet Liquid Instruments - Prier liquid, as difficult to get a pote Erilliquidity in such matet "Lower for Conger" -> Favet and read liquid Instruments - Prier liquid, as difficult to get a pote Erilliquid) in such matet "Lower for Conger" -> Favet and read liquid - EM Rates remain 'sale haven' assets, and with real rates to high, the shorter end looks better at this stage
Investment Conclusion	Over next 3 months we hold a more caulious stance, although in the very near term we are happy to be long as we think investors have overeaded. Positioning looks much clearer and valuation altractive, in local markets in particular. We will catch up the upside tactically, through liquid instruments and high conviction trades. We are monitoring capital flows, EM alwordown, US comainers and the European crists to time up our medium term scenario
Risks	KEY INICATORS TO WATCH European crists: Spin is the real pressure point, can the Barks make it (Funding/Capital/Regulation)? Global growth: China and the US consumer are key to EM growth sustainability Outforws: Investos are burying the relativing story but will they continue to shift capital into EM during this turbulent period?
Regional Outlook	ASIA: will continue to post decent growth ahead, but upside potential appears limited with China now getting more serious about relatancing its economy vac currency (hostil), and wage increases to foavour cosmuption e.e. score). The property measures and controls on credit growth will also weigh on construction achities and resource demands. So far inflation seems well behaved and likely to see a short term peak soon after base effects kicks in. Modest measures to limit speculate flows will continue as Asian central banks struggle with currency intervention and the resulting domesic liquidity. Rate hikes will be limited given the global backdop.
	EMEA: Valuation is cheapest in the EM space. Fundamentals are improving but at much slower pace than expected; they are and will be subject to the fiscal workies in Western Europe. Current aic deficits are greatly reduced and government debt levels are low but fiscal policies in many countries need to be tightened. So far inflation workies have proved to be exaggerated.
	LATAM: Growth momentum likely peaked in C2 and into C3. However, growth rates remain healthy, sustainable and may surprise on the liquide in US-linked economies such as Mexico. Similarly, italiai on dynamics should be contained this quarter thanks to softer commonly prices. As a result, we expect a lower monetary policy rate environment for longer. However, political noise is likely to increase in Brazil and Venezukat. Overall, the region seems policy losed to continue outgeforming despite lises compelling valuations.
External Debt	The buffamental backdrop for EM spreads (bythering vs. DM remains instact. However higher uncertainty surrounding global prospects and waker technicals makes an outlight comp base less support. Concented no contries in both hybride and HS aspect with strong balance sheets, little nollower risk, domestic growth drivers, and liquidity. Still favor Caribbean over EMEA. Play the cyclical recovery through tiquid instruments. Tactical trading is likely to dominate in Q3.
Local Debt	Vietation fire BM local dote! look wery distractive composed to developed marketie, especially as initiation teams all meanin subdued. Many EM controlling and allow in oursh bitter factorial subations, with subationality lower public ded/COD [®] mean and user badge ded/abits, making implement supply of bonds. Overall we prefer being positioned in the longer end of the curves in countries less influenced by changes in global risk appetite and those offering decent yield
EM Currencies	In the long term, much better growth prospects for EM provides a strong underpinning for EM FX appreciation. However, as EM currencies remain a fairly liquid instrument to play they are responsive to charges in global risk appetite and can more quickly and to a large extent. So we prefer being strategically ling for FX but us et as an instrument of choice to play tact to tack. There is a preference loward Asian currencies with a more domestic titt. INR can benefit from high growth and RBI hikes. PHP line on BoP and being laggard vs CNY proxy currencies.
Credit	EM coperate bods in general continue to enjoy along earnings and healthy balance a heads. 2010 expected debuilt rates remain low Whose should be apported of spreads, gene the increasing interest in the association. Transforging addy remains (gits and generalized outflows in EM represent the main risk. EM HY valuations remain attractive on a standalone basis, and EM investment grade is attractive compared to developed IG.
Duration/cash	Overall Neutral. 2 - 5% cash

Source: J.P. Morgan Asset Management



Our macro view on individual countries is developed using quantitative and qualitative inputs



EACH INPUT HELPS US ESTABLISH A COUNTRY VIEW

THIS VIEW GUIDES OUR EXTERNAL, LOCAL AND CORPORATE DEBT STRATEGIES

2000 1800

1600

1400

1200 1000

800

600 400

200

0

Nov-08 Jan-09 Mar-09 May-09

Sep-08

Source: J.P. Morgan Asset Management

COUNTRY VIEW

The above information is shown for illustrative purposes only and should not be relied upon by you in evaluating the merits of investing in any securities or strategies mentioned herein.



Mar-10

May-10

Jan-10

EXAMPLE: Argentina

CFI fair value spread estimates

Jul-09 Sep-09 Nov-09

The investment universe is filtered to focus our search





A dedicated process has been developed to identify investment opportunities across each alpha strategy



Portfolios are constructed in line with client guidelines

- Qualitative factors
 - Index limits
 - Out-of-index positioning limits
 - Market access
 - Credit constraints
 - Other factors
- Pay-out and Confidence
 - Target return on investment
 - Potential loss
 - Level of confidence: likelihood of risk scenario materialising
- Risks
 - Liquidity of security and impact on overall portfolio
 - Volatility, both historical and prospective
 - Correlation with current investments
 - Beta
 - Profit/ loss analysis

Source: J.P. Morgan Asset Management

The above information is shown for illustrative purposes only and should not be relied upon by you in evaluating the merits of investing in any securities or strategies mentioned herein.

J.P.Morgan Asset Management

Portfolio construction "traffic light" guides decision making

Buy INR vs USD

Checklist - Qualitative			
Current Level	46.5		
Target	43.5		
Review	47.5		

Checklist – Returns and Risks						
Pay-out	3					
Confidence	2					
Liquidity	2					
Diversification to portfolio	2					
Independence from Beta	1					
Position size indicator	0.66					

Once constructed, portfolios are closely monitored and controlled

- Risk reports are run weekly by our independent risk group and reviewed by the portfolio management team
 - Reports focus on:
 - Local currency risk
 - Tracking error
 - Portfolio risk exposure
 - Value at risk
 - Total return beta
 - Scenario analysis and stress testing

Sample risk report

-	Emerging Markets Accounts Risk Report as of 2009-12-31																
				Risk	Risk Limit		Wtd Sprd Dur (net of benchmark)			Beta Adjusted Spread Duration (net)				Portfolio Risk (bp)			
Account Name	Benchmark	MV (\$mm)	Client Expectation on Alpha (bp)	TE	Dur (vs.BM)	Net Local Currency	USD (Local Currency	Total	USD	Local Currency	Total	Total Return Beta	Projected TE	-1W	(Tot Rtn) 1Q 95% VaR) -1W
	EMBI	118	50-100	100	+/-2	0%	0.13	0.00	0.13	0.16	0.00	0.16	1.04	70	-1	1221	+2
	EMBI	170	200-300	400-600	+/-1	9%	-0.63	0.30	-0.34	0.22	0.11	0.34	1.09	152	-3	1205	-7
	EMBI	128	200-300	400-600		9%	-0.64	0.38	-0.26	0.05	0.14	0.19	1.07	187	+8	1250	+15
	EMBI	152	200-300	400-600	+/-1	9%	-0.58	0.33	-0.25	0.21	0.14	0.34	1.09	177	-5	1235	-7
	EMBI	159	200-300	200-300	+/-2	6%	-0.58	0.34	-0.24	0.11	0.15	0.26	1.11	226	-3	1309	-3



Investment Process summary

Single investment process applied globally

- Global Investment Strategy
 - Determines global investment themes and decides risk budgets
- Macro analysis
 - Country level fundamental quantitative and qualitative analysis
- Dedicated alpha strategies
 - Bottom up fundamental and technical analysis:
 - External
 - Local Currency
 - Corporate
- Dedicated trade and portfolio ownership
- Comprehensive risk management framework



Appendix 2: Quick introduction to QIS 5 (market risk)



From Solvency I to Solvency II

Solvency I

- Solvency margin requirements have been in place since the 1970s and it was acknowledged in the third generation Insurance Directives adopted in the 1990's that the EU solvency rules should be reviewed
- Solvency I requires insurers to hold capital funds equal to required solvency margin or the minimum guaranteed fund, whichever is higher
- The required solvency margin is calculated as follows
 - -Sum of 4% of mathematical reserves (1% if unit-linked business) plus 0.3% of the capital at risk (insured sum minus math. reserves) for life1
 - -Maximum of 18/16% of premiums earned and 26/23% of incurred losses for non-life1
- Approach is simple, has low compliance costs, the results are easy to understand and avoids subjectivity...
- ...but proxies consider only certain types of risks, are arbitrary capital requirements, approach does not differentiate between "good and bad" companies and there is no strong incentive for risk management provided

Solvency II

- **EU decided to harmonize solvency rules** for all insurance companies to ensure the financial soundness of insurance undertakings
- Solvency II guidelines stipulate minimum amounts of financial capital that insurance companies must have in order to cover the different risks they are exposed to
- Therefore, Solvency II will introduce more risk-sensitive, more sophisticated and more risk-based requirements than in the past
- Solvency II will be a total balance sheet approach taking into account a comprehensive set of risks faced by an insurance company, i.e. all asset-side and liability-side risks
- The framework consists of a three pillar approach similar to Basel II. Pillar 1 consists of quantitative requirements. Pillar 2 sets out requirements for corporate governance and risk management of insurers. Pillar 3 is focusing on the supervisory reporting and transparency requirements



Solvency Capital Requirement

Risk's basic sources



Main sources of risk

- According to CEIOPS, the main sources of risk as indicated in the graph to the right – an insurer is confronted with, can be structured as follows
 - Market
 - Default
 - Life
 - Health
 - Non-life
 - Intangible
- In this presentation, the focus is put on the market risk, especially the risk affecting the asset side of an insurer



The market risk module

Composition of the market risk modules

SCR risk modules



Description

- The capital charge for the market risk module is calculated via several sub modules, which identify
 - Interest rate risk
 - Equity risk
 - Property risk
 - Spread risk
 - Currency risk
 - Risk concentrations
 - Illiquidity premium risk



Overview of Solvency II market risk SCR

Treatment of sample asset classes – please consider SCR is calculated via $\triangle NAV$ approach

	Solvency SCR Categories						
Asset Class	Interest rate risk	Non interest rate risk	SCR module				
Sovereigns EEA / OECD	Duration mismatch * 1%	-	-				
Non-sovereign / non-structured bonds, incl. covered bonds, loans	Duration mismatch * 1%	Rating factor * Duration	Spread				
ABS	Duration mismatch * 1%	max(Rating factor of underlying * Duration, Rating factor of product * Duration)	Spread				
Developed Equity	-	39% base (30% current)	Equity				
Emerging market equity	-	49% base (40% current)	Equity				
Alternatives: Hedge, Private Equity, Commodities	-	49% base (40% current)	Equity				
Real Estate	-	25% on unlevered	Property				

General remarks

- FX risk attracts a capital charge of 25% FX_mismatch (Except EUR_pegged)
- Look-through to be applied whenever possible, so that financial instruments are ideally decomposed into the above SCR categories; e.g. Convertibles are corporate bonds plus equity derivatives
- Derivatives are charged based on the mark-to-market changes implied by relevant stress levels / SCR charges of the underlyings on a one-year horizon, i.e. Value of derivative at stressed level and with one year less maturity
- Separate stress for credit derivatives
- Aggregation of individual SCRs via correlation matrices



This document is intended solely to report on various investment views held by J.P. Morgan Asset Management. Opinions, estimates, forecasts, and statements of financial market trends that are based on current market conditions constitute our judgment and are subject to change without notice. We believe the information provided here is reliable but should not be assumed to be accurate or complete. The views and strategies described may not be suitable for all investors. References to specific securities, asset classes and financial markets are for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to be, and should not be interpreted as, recommendations. Indices do not include fees or operating expenses and are not available for actual investment. The information contained herein employs proprietary projections of expected returns as well as estimates of their future volatility. The relative relationships and forecasts contained herein are based upon proprietary research and are developed through analysis of historical data and capital markets theory. These estimates have certain inherent limitations, and unlike an actual performance record, they do not reflect actual trading, liquidity constraints, fees or other costs. References to future net returns are not promises or even estimates of actual returns a client portfolio may achieve. The forecasts contained herein are for illustrative purposes only and are not to be relied upon as advice or interpreted as a recommendation.

The value of investments and the income from them may fluctuate and your investment is not guaranteed. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Please note current performance may be higher or lower than the performance data shown. Please note that investments in foreign markets are subject to special currency, political, and economic risks. Exchange rates may cause the value of underlying overseas investments to go down or up. Investments in emerging markets may be more volatile than other markets and the risk to your capital is therefore greater. Also, the economic and political situations may be more volatile than in established economies and these may adversely influence the value of investments made.

The deduction of an advisory fee reduces an investor's return. Actual account performance will vary depending on individual portfolio security selection and the applicable fee schedule. Fees are available upon request.

Illustration showing impact of investment management fees: An investment of USD \$1,000,000 under the management of JPMAM achieves a 10% compounded gross annual return for 10 years. If a management fee of 0.75% of average assets under management were charged per year for the 10-year period, the annual return would be 9.25% and the value of assets would be USD \$2,422,225 net of fees, compared with USD \$2,593,742 gross of fees. Therefore, the investment management fee, and any other expenses incurred in the management of the portfolio, will reduce the client's return.

All case studies are shown for illustrative purposes only and should not be relied upon as advice or interpreted as a recommendation. They are based on current market conditions that constitute our judgment and are subject to change. Results shown are not meant to be representative of actual investment results. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of the likely future performance of an investment.

Any securities mentioned throughout the presentation are shown for illustrative purposes only and should not be interpreted as recommendations to buy or sell. A full list of firm recommendations for the past year is available upon request.

J.P. Morgan Institutional Investments Inc., placement agent, member FINRA/SIPC.

J.P. Morgan Asset Management is the marketing name for the asset management business of JPMorgan Chase & Co. Those businesses include, but are not limited to, J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc., JPMorgan Investment Advisors Inc., Security Capital Research & Management Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Alternative Asset Management, Inc.

Copyright © 2010 JPMorgan Chase & Co. All rights reserved.